The Knowledge Agenda

Managing an organization’s knowledge more effectively and exploiting it in the marketplace is the latest pursuit of those seeking competitive advantage. The interest in knowledge management has surged during the last few years, with a growing number of publications, conferences and investment in knowledge management initiatives.

In a year long study of international best practice (Skyrme and Amidon 1997), two main thrusts were identified. The first is that of making better use of the knowledge that already exists within the firm, for example by sharing best practices. This addresses the oft cited lament: “if only we knew what we knew”. Too frequently people in one part of the organization reinvent the wheel or fail to solve customer’s problems quickly because the knowledge they need is elsewhere in the company but not known or accessible to them. Hence, the first initiative of many knowledge management programs (between a third and a half according to surveys) is that of installing or improving an Intranet, and adding best practice or ‘expert’ databases.

The second major thrust of knowledge focused strategies is that of innovation, the creation of new knowledge and its conversion into valuable products and services. This is sometimes referred to as knowledge innovation (Amidon 1997). This requires an environment where creativity and learning flourishes and knowledge is encapsulated in a form where it can be applied. One way is to embed knowledge into products, where it is more easily disseminated. Products from tractors to domestic appliances are getting ‘smarter’, while other products, such as software, represent packaged knowledge.

The range of knowledge management activities is broad, and touches many aspects of business operations, for example:
- Development of knowledge centers - focal points for knowledge skills and facilitating knowledge flow
- Reuse of knowledge at customer support centers e.g. via case-based reasoning
- Introduction of collaborative technologies, especially Intranets or groupware, for rapid information access
- Knowledge webs - networks of experts who collaborate across and beyond an organization’s functional and geographic boundaries
- Augmentation of decision support processes, such as through expert systems or group decision support systems.

In fact, any activity that uses and applies knowledge can benefit from the disciplines of knowledge management, and that covers most managerial and professional activities. Therefore, like other management ‘fads’ before, many existing business practices (such as information management and intelligence gathering) are coming under the knowledge management umbrella. Similarly, information systems solutions, such as document management and data warehousing are being similarly relabelled.

Such relabelling raises the question as to whether the current knowledge focus is merely a passing fad. The importance of knowledge as a strategic lever can in fact be traced back many years, to writers like Peter Drucker, who is credited with coining the term ‘knowledge worker’ (see explanation in Drucker 1993). More recently, writers such as Quinn (1992), Wiig (1994), Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995), and Stewart (1997) have given important insights as to the contribution of knowledge to corporate success.

What is new, and therefore makes knowledge management more fundamental than simply a passing fad are the following factors:
- The value of an organization’s wealth is increasingly in its intangible assets - its people, know-how, brands, patents, licenses, customer relationships etc.
- Knowledge can command a premium price in the market - Applied know-how can enhance the value (and hence the price) of products and services. Examples are the ‘smart drill’ that learns how to extract more oil from an oil field, and the hotel chain that knows your personal preferences and so can give you a more personalized service.
• As suppliers and consumers get more globally connected (e.g. through the Internet), access to critical knowledge becomes easier and more cost effective.

• As organizations become more efficient at what they do, they need to apply new learning and talent to help them differentiate themselves in the marketplace.

• By retaining knowledge as organizations downsize or restructure, organizations can save costly mistakes and prevent “reinventing the wheel”.

The significant change as companies respond to these factors is that their knowledge processes become more explicit, more systematized, more cross-organizational and more geographically dispersed. As a consequence they more readily lend themselves to the application of information and communications technologies (ICT). Thus, surveys (e.g. Murray and Myers 1997, Chase 1997) have shown email, Intranet, Internet as effective knowledge management tools. Also, videoconferencing, document management, online information sources and decision support tools are quite widely used as such, although views diverge as to their effectiveness.

The First Generation - What Went Wrong?
Computer support of knowledge activities is far from new. In the 1970s there was a proliferation of ‘expert systems’, and heightened interest in artificial intelligence. It was suggested that they might radically transform knowledge activities within firms. The reality, as we know in hindsight, is that they fell far short of expectations. They could handle only a narrow range of problems, they required extensive knowledge elicitation, and they failed to grasp the fundamental nature of human thought processes. This era is best characterized as the one where we tried to make computers think, rather than using computers to help humans think.

Today, after years of steady progress, artificial intelligence has evolved new techniques, such as neural networks and intelligent agents, and is being widely applied in a growing number of applications. Our research also found it is used to some degree in a significant proportion of the world-class knowledge management programs we investigated. The main hurdle affecting all applications of ICT to knowledge management is coping with the fundamental difference between explicit and tacit knowledge (Figure 1).

Whereas explicit knowledge is that which can be codified into documents, databases and other tangible forms, tacit knowledge is that in the heads of individuals. Ask a person to describe explicitly how to ride a bicycle and they cannot, yet they know how to. This distinction, and the processes by which tacit knowledge is converted in to explicit knowledge and vice versa, is one of the central planks of Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995). Our research found it one of the most widely cited concepts by knowledge management practitioners, yet one that is often ignored by information systems professionals. There seems to be a Western tendency to capture knowledge by “getting it into a database”. Yet some of the most successful applications of ICT in knowledge management include those that help human-human communications, most notably groupware, and especially Lotus Notes.

Frameworks for Thinking and Action
From the perspective of a knowledge architect, frameworks provide a convenient way of thinking about the role of ICT in supporting knowledge processes. Most frameworks map different ICT tools according to their function and whether they are used individually or by teams. One such framework is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person to Person</th>
<th>Passive (information)</th>
<th>Active (knowledge)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person to Computer</td>
<td>Computer conferencing, Expert networks</td>
<td>Meeting support Video-conferencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer to Computer</td>
<td>Data Mining</td>
<td>Neural Networks, Intelligent Agents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Knowledge Transfer Mechanisms
From an analysis of a wide range of tools and classifications, Jan Wyllie of Trend Monitor International has developed the functional schema shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A MIND: Assimilation and Interpretation</th>
<th>B COLLABORATION: Network and Communication</th>
<th>C CONTENT: Gathering and Retrieval</th>
<th>D MEDIA: Storage and Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Mapping, b Summarization, c Significant pattern discovery, d Decision support</td>
<td>a Conversing, b Workflow, c Information sharing, d Resource sharing e Groupware</td>
<td>a Preparation, b Classifying, c Searching, d Filtering, e Indexing</td>
<td>a Numeric databases, b Textbases, c Imagebases, d Multimedia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A framework that most managers can easily relate to is that which maps various ICT tools according to the knowledge processes they enhance. Having learnt about Business Process Reengineering, many are now well oriented to the process view of the firm. Figure 2 shows a schematic of knowledge processes (similar to a value chain), whose left hand categories distinguish the two strands of knowledge management - identifying existing knowledge and creating new knowledge. A representative selection of ICT tools are mapped into different knowledge processes.

Some Key Technologies

The impact of each technology varies enormously from situation to situation. Several technologies recur in many knowledge management programs, partly because they are generic and pervade many core activities and processes. The main ones are now briefly reviewed.

**Intranet, Internet**

The ubiquitous Internet protocols make it easy for users to access “any information, any where, at any time”. Further, browsers and client software can act as front-ends to information in many formats and many of the other knowledge tools such as document management or decision support. Remember too, that the basic functions of email, discussion lists and private newsgroups often have the biggest short term impact.

**Booz Allen & Hamilton’s Knowledge Online** is an Intranet that provides a wealth of information (e.g. best practice, industry trends, database of experts) to their consultants world-wide. Through active information management by knowledge editors (subject experts and librarians) the information remains well structured and relevant.

**Groupware - Lotus Notes**

What groupware products like Lotus Notes add over and above Intranets are discussion databases. Users such as Thomas Miller, a London based manager of insurance mutuals, access their ‘organizational memory’, as well as current news feeds in areas of interest, through one of Lotus’s key features, its multiple ‘views’. When writing new insurance proposals, existing explicit knowledge can
be assembled from the archive, guided by an expert systems front-end, while tacit knowledge is added through discussion databases.

**Intelligent Agents**
The problem of information overload is becoming acute for many professionals. Intelligent agents can be trained to roam networks to select and alert users of new relevant information. Additionally they can be used to filter out less relevant information from information feeds. However, in practice it seems that a well run knowledge center, such as those at Price Waterhouse, the best intelligent agent is still a human being!

A related technology is that of text summarizing, which British Telecom have found can summarize large documents, retaining over 90 per cent of the relevant meaning with less than a quarter of the original text.

**Mapping Tools**
There are an increasing number of tools, such as COPE and IDONS, that help individuals and teams develop cognitive maps or ‘shared mental models’. These have been used by companies such as Shell to develop future scenarios and resolve conflicting stakeholder requirements. In addition, other mapping tools, such as those found in Knowledge X, can represent conceptual linkages between different source documents.

**Document Management**
Documents, and especially structured documents, are the form in which much explicit knowledge is shared. With annotation and redlining facilities, they can become active knowledge repositories, where the latest version and thinking is readily shared amongst project teams.

By using a document management system for the construction of the Thelma North Sea oil platform, AGIP reduced construction time by 9 months and reduced document handling costs by 60 per cent. Suppliers like Dataware are repositioning their products as knowledge management products and are also adding ‘knowledge enriching’ functionality.

**Knowledge Enriched Solutions**
With a burgeoning and lucrative market for knowledge management solutions, many companies are simply relabelling their products and approaches e.g. information management as knowledge management, databases as knowledge bases, data warehouses as knowledge repositories. True knowledge management solutions are not simply new labels, but add knowledge-enriching features. These include:

- Adding contextual information to data - where was this information used? What factors need to be considered when using it?
- Using multimedia e.g. adding video clips or voice to databases of best practice or problem solution databases
- Providing annotation - adding informal notes to individual data items; using MAPI enabled software, where a document or file can be sent with a forwarding note by email
- Qualifying information - giving details of originator, users adding comments about the quality of information
- Providing links to experts - a ‘click’ button to contact an expert (either by email or phone). GIGA, for example, lets its client access global experts through its web site (http://www.gigaweb.com).

These all help the transfer of tacit knowledge, and any tool should increasingly provide hooks that add new levels of interaction, not just person-to-computer but person-to-person.

**Knowledge Collaboration Architecture**
Over time, the boundaries of individual tools blur (c.f. groupware and Internet, document management and information retrieval), and effective usage requires seamless interoperability and fluidity of information and knowledge flow.

Therefore organizations using ICT to support knowledge activities need to think about an overall architecture. Some companies, such as Glaxo Wellcome are recognizing that knowledge management requires changes in established technical architectures. Our analysis of several companies who have developed architectures that support knowledge management indicates that tools and supporting processes are needed at several levels (Figure 3).

![Figure 3 - Levels of an IT Knowledge Infrastructure](http://www.gigaweb.com)
At higher levels, there must be mechanisms for threaded conversations and structured collaborative work.

As you move up through each architectural layer (each of which depends on the one below), more of the challenges are people and organization, rather than technology, related. In our experience, most large organizations, taking their position overall, are still between the bottom two levels.

Achieving the Benefits
As any manager of change or implementer of ICT infrastructure knows, it is the human, organizational and cultural factors that are the ultimate determinants of success. ICT solutions for Knowledge Management are, in essence, social computing, and therefore need such an approach. Implementations that are successful are typically found to share the following characteristics:

- Clear vision and leadership - a solid appreciation of the contribution of knowledge to business success and how IT can help.
- Multidisciplinary teams - including information managers (librarians), facilitators, business experts as well as technologists.
- User and business-centric. Users are actively engaged in developing solutions that enhance knowledge activities.
- Well designed processes that engage humans where they are best, and allow them to interact with computers where computers perform best. A business process that does not consider applying best knowledge (and updating it) is an incomplete process.
- Active learning and experimentation. There is no such thing as a finished requirement specification. Solutions evolve and adapt.
- A knowledge sharing culture. People want to share information and their experience and are rewarded for doing so.

Conclusion
Information and communications technologies are an important ingredient of virtually every successful knowledge management program. An ever wider range of highly effective solutions are coming to market, including a new generation of artificial intelligence solutions, new flavors of document management systems and various collaborative technologies such as the Internet.

Successful implementation depends, as always, on giving appropriate focus to the non-technical factors such as human factors, organizational processes and culture, the multi-disciplinary skills of hybrid teams and managers, and the already existing knowledge repository of prior learning - providing, of course, that it is well structured, accessible and gives you access to critical expertise!

References


About the Author
David Skyrme has practiced knowledge management for many years, first in the development of market and competitor intelligence systems and later in strategic decision support systems. He was formerly UK Strategic Planning Manager at DEC, and now runs a networked management consultancy on knowledge management.

Email: david@skyrme.com
WWW: http://www.skyrme.com